LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAY 17 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

No. [redacted]5 149

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 196[redacted]5

JAMES BRYSON MARTIN,

Petitioner,

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

CLYDE W. WOODY

MARIAN S. ROSEN

1114 Texas Avenue Building
Houston, Texas 77002
CA 2-9225

Attorneys for Petitioner

PRINTED IN U.S.A. — BY DELAHUE/TEXAS

Supreme Court of the United States

No. ....., October Term, 1964

James Bryson Martin,

Petitioner,

v.

The State of Texas,

Respondent.

The Clerk will enter our appearance as
Counsel for the Petitioner

.....

Clyde W. Woody

.....

Marian S. Rosen

1114 Texas Avenue Building
Houston, Texas 77002
CA 2-9225

Attorneys for Petitioner

SUBJECT INDEX

	PAGE
Citations to Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Questions Presented	3
Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved	5
Statement of the Nature of the Case	5
I. Procedural History of the Case	5
II. Facts Material to the Questions Presented	6
III. Federal Questions Raised in the Trial Court Below and the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas	9
(A) In the Trial Court	9
(B) The Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas	9
Reasons For Granting The Writ	12
I. This Honorable Court should grant certiorari herein so that it may discuss the substantive provisions of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and it may effectively explain to the State of Texas its precise holdings in *Boyd* v. *United States,* 116 U.S. 616; *Malloy* v. *Hogan,* 378 U.S. 1, and all of this Court's recent decisions dealing with the interrelationship of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments since the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas has been unable to apply the Federal standard to the great detriment of Petitioner and to the detriment of all those who have been and are continuing to be subjected to the Court of Inquiry procedure.	12
II. Certiorari should be granted herein because an important question affecting the personal rights of the individual under Art. 3, § 2, Cl. 3 and the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States is involved.	23
Conclusion	27
Certificate of Service	28
Appendix A	1a
Appendix B	7a
Appendix C	17a

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

Constitution of the United States

	PAGE
Art. 3, § 2, Cl. 3	5
Fourth Amendment	5
Fifth Amendment	5
Sixth Amendment	5
Fourteenth Amendment	5

Constitution of Texas

Art. 3, § 45	5
Art. 5, § 1	2
Art. 5, § 5	2

Statutory Provisions

28 U.S.C. § 1257 (3)	1
Art. 53, V.A.C.C.P.	2
Art. 560, V.A.C.C.P.	5
Art. 886, V.A.C.C.P.	5
Art. 887, V.A.C.C.P.	5
Rule 3, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	5
Rule 4, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	5

Cases

Aguilar v. *Texas*, 378 U.S. 108	12, 15
Barnes v. *Texas*, ... U.S. ....., 13 L ed 2d 818	15
Boyd v. *United States*, 116 U.S. 616	12
Carroll v. *United States*, 267 U.S. 132	16
Counselman v. *Hitchcock*, 142 U.S. 547	12
Escobedo v. *Illinois*, 378 U.S. 418	12, 23
Gideon v. *Wainwright*, 372 U.S. 335	12
Giordenello v. *United States*, 357 U.S. 480	15
Griffin v. *California*, ... U.S. ....., 33 L.W. 4382	21

PAGE

Hoffman v. *United States*, 341 U.S. 479 12

In re Groban, 352 U.S. 330 23

Ker v. *California*, 374 U.S. 23 15

Malloy v. *Hogan*, 378 U.S. 1 12

Mapp v. *Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643 15

Massiah v. *United States*, 377 U.S. 152 12

Murphy v. *Waterfront Commission*, 378 U.S. 52 12

One 1958 Plymouth Sedan v. *Pennsylvania*, ... U.S. ....., 33 L.W. 4387 17

People v. *Martin*, 46 N.E. 2d 997 19

Pointer v. *Texas*, ... U.S. ....., 13 L ed 2d 923 ..... 2, 12

Silverthorne Lumber Company v. *United States*, 25 U.S. 385.. 12, 17

Simmons v. *State*, 277 P. 2d 196 19

Ex Parte N. A. Smith, 383 S.W. 2d 401 22

United States v. *Cores*, 356 U.S. 405 24

United States v. *Johnson*, 323 U.S. 273 24

United States v. *Krulewitch*, 167 F. 2d 943 19

Wilson v. *United States*, 148 U.S. 60 12

Wong Sun and James Wah Toy v. *United States*, 371 U.S. 471 18

Woo Lai Chun v. *United States*, 274 F. 2d 708 19

Miscellaneous

Texas 'Courts of Inquiry' — A Legitimate Means of Criminal Investigation, Houston Law Review, Vol. I, No. 2 13